

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 21-0108V

MICHAEL LEACH,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: November 25, 2024

John Robert Howie, Howie Law, PC, Dallas, TX, for Petitioner.

Nina Ren, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On January 5, 2021, Michael Leach filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”), alleging that he suffered a Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration (“SIRVA”) as a result of a Tdap vaccine administered to him on May 17, 2019. Petition, ECF No. 1. On June 6, 2024, I issued a decision finding Petitioner entitled to compensation and awarding damages to Petitioner, following briefing and expedited Motions Day argument by the parties. ECF No. 40.

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney’s fees and costs, requesting an award of \$41,299.43 (representing \$40,376.40 for fees and \$923.03 for costs). Petitioner’s

¹Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Application for Attorneys' Fees, filed June 30, 2024, ECF No. 46. In accordance with General Order No. 9, Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 46-4.

Respondent reacted to the motion on July 1, 2024, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, 3 n.2, ECF No. 47. The same day, Petitioner filed a reply. ECF No. 48.

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2024 are reasonable and consistent with our prior determinations, and will therefore be adopted.

I also note this case required additional briefing regarding the issues of entitlement and damages. See Petitioner's Motion for Ruling on the Record on Entitlement and Damages, filed Sept. 11, 2023, ECF No. 34; Petitioner's Reply Brief, filed Nov. 13, 2023, ECF No. 36; Minute Entry, issued June 3, 2024 (regarding proceedings on May 31, 2024). Petitioner's counsel expended approximately 13.1 hours drafting the entitlement and damages brief and 7.1 hours drafting the reply brief, totaling 20.2 hours. ECF No. 46-2 at 7. I find this time to have been reasonably incurred. (And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred.)

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs, ECF No. 46-3. And Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I have reviewed the requested costs and find them to be reasonable.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). **I award a total of \$41,299.43 (representing \$40,376.40 for fees and \$923.03 for costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, John Howie.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
 Brian H. Corcoran
 Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.